

INVERSE SCATTERING ON CONFORMALLY COMPACT MANIFOLDS

LEONARDO MARAZZI

ABSTRACT. We study inverse scattering for $\Delta_g + V$ on (X, g) a conformally compact manifold with metric g , with variable sectional curvature $-\alpha^2(y)$ at the boundary and $V \in C^\infty(X)$ not vanishing at the boundary. We prove that the scattering matrix at a fixed energies (λ_1, λ_2) in a suitable subset of $\mathbb{C}$, determines α , and the Taylor series of both the potential and the metric at the boundary.

1. Introduction

In this note we study inverse scattering on conformally compact manifolds with non-constant asymptotic sectional curvatures. We work with Δ the negative Laplacian. We prove that the scattering matrix of $\Delta_g + V$, g conformally compact, $V \in C^\infty$, at fixed energies (λ_1, λ_2) in a suitable subset of $\mathbb{C}$, determines the curvature α , the Taylor series of the potential V at the boundary and the Taylor series of g near the boundary. This is a generalization and improvement of some results of [10]. It is a generalization to the case where the potential V does not vanish at infinity and the curvature at infinity is not constant. It is an improvement in the sense if we know a priori that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ we determine both Taylor series from the scattering matrix at just one energy.

The potentials considered here can be thought of as symbols of order zero. In the Euclidean setting scattering for such potentials has been studied by Hassel-Melrose-Vasy [7, 8], Saitō [17], Herbst [9], and Agmon-Cruz-Herbst [1] among others. In this paper we get a first result on inverse scattering in the setting of conformally compact manifold with variable curvature at infinity. There does not seem to be any inverse result for the symbols which are potentials of order zero in the Euclidean setting.

Let X be a C^∞ compact manifold of dimension $n+1$ with boundary ∂X . We recall that x is a boundary defining function of ∂X if $x \geq 0$, $\partial X = \{x = 0\}$, and $dx \neq 0$ on ∂X . We assume X is equipped with a Riemannian metric g such that for any defining function x of ∂X the metric $x^2 g = \tilde{g}$ is a C^∞ non degenerate Riemannian metric up to ∂X . The manifold (X, g) is called a conformally compact manifold.

It is shown in [12] that if ν is the unit normal with respect to $\tilde{g}$, $-(\partial x / \partial \nu)^2(y) = -\alpha^2(y)$ are the sectional curvatures at the boundary. When $(\partial x / \partial \nu)^2(y)$ is constant, the manifold is called asymptotically hyperbolic. The scattering theory in the setting of variable sectional curvature α at infinity has been studied by Borthwick [2].

Following the proof of Lemma 2.1 of [3] one can show that once fixed $\tilde{g}|_{\partial X}$ there exists a unique C^∞ defining function x of ∂X , in a collar neighborhood $[0, \epsilon) \times \partial X$ of ∂X , such that $|dx|_{\tilde{g}} = \alpha$. In this case we can write

$$g = \frac{dx^2}{\alpha^2(y)x^2} + \frac{h(x, y, dy)}{x^2}, \quad (x, y) \in [0, \epsilon) \times \partial X. \quad (1.1)$$

Mazzeo and Melrose [13] studied the resolvent of the Laplacian for asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds. They proved that the resolvent has a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{(1/2)(n - \mathbb{N}_0)\}$. Guillarmou [4] proved that in general the resolvent may have essential singularities at $\{(1/2)(n - \mathbb{N}_0)\}$. The generalization to a variable curvature at the boundary $\alpha(y)$ was carried out by Borthwick in [2]; he proved the existence of the Poisson operator, and meromorphic continuation of the resolvent, and the scattering matrix.

By the spectral theorem the resolvent

$$R_\lambda = \left(\Delta_g + V(x, y) - \lambda^2 - \frac{n^2}{4} \right)^{-1}$$

is well defined for $\Im \lambda \ll 0$, and one would like to understand if it can meromorphically continued to a larger region of the complex plane.

The proof of Proposition 5.3 of [2] can be modified to our case to obtain

Theorem 1.1. *Let $V \in C^\infty(\overline{X})$, and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Omega \cup D)$, with Ω defined in (2.7) below, and D the discrete set of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the resolvent $R_\lambda = \left(\Delta_g + V(x, y) - \lambda^2 - \frac{n^2}{4} \right)^{-1}$ has a pole. Let x be such that (1.1) is satisfied. Given $f \in C^\infty(\partial X)$, there exists a unique $u \in C^\infty(X)$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Delta_g + V(x, y) - \lambda^2 - \frac{n^2}{4} \right) u(x, y) &= 0; \\ u(x, y) &= x^{n-\sigma} F(x, y) + x^\sigma G(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where $F, G \in C^\infty(\overline{X})$, $F = f$ at ∂X , and $\sigma = \frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha^2}(V(0, y) - \lambda^2 - \frac{n^2}{4})}$.

We outline the proof of 1.1 in section 2. The Poisson operator is the map

$$\begin{aligned} E_\lambda : C^\infty(\partial X) &\longrightarrow C^\infty(X) \\ E_\lambda : f &\mapsto u, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

and the scattering matrix S_λ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} S_\lambda : C^\infty(\partial X) &\longrightarrow C^\infty(\partial X) \\ S_\lambda : f &\mapsto G|_{\partial X}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

In [10], Joshi and Sá Barreto deal with the asymptotically hyperbolic case and show that for a fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus Q$, where Q is a discrete set, the scattering matrix $S(\lambda)$ determines the Taylor series of the metric g or the potential V , with the assumption that the potential vanishes at the boundary. We carry out the natural extension of this approach to the conformally compact case and for potentials not vanishing at the boundary.

Let P_1 and P_2 be the operators

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= \Delta_{g_1} + V_1(x, y) - \lambda_1^2 - \frac{n^2}{4}, \\ P_2 &= \Delta_{g_2} + V_2(x, y) - \lambda_2^2 - \frac{n^2}{4}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

and we fix a product structure in which

$$g_j = \frac{dx^2}{\alpha_j^2(y)x^2} + \frac{h_j(x, y, dy)}{x^2} \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{1.5}$$

We introduce some notation necessary to state our Theorem. We denote by

$$D_i = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : (R_\lambda)_i = \left(\Delta_{g_i} + V_i(x, y) - \lambda^2 - \frac{n^2}{4} \right)^{-1} \text{ has a pole, } i = 1, 2 \}, \tag{1.6}$$

we also denote by

$$\Omega_i = \Omega'_i \cup \left[\min_{\partial X} \{V_i(0, y)\} - \alpha_{iM}^2 \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{n^2}{4}, \max_{\partial X} \{V_i(0, y)\} - \alpha_{im}^2 \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{n^2}{4} \right], \quad i = 1, 2 \tag{1.7}$$

where $\alpha_m = \min_{\partial X} \alpha$, $\alpha_M = \max_{\partial X} \alpha$, and

$$\Omega'_i = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \exists y \in \partial X : \sigma_i(\lambda, y) \in \frac{n - \mathbb{N}_0}{2} \right\},$$

with

$$\sigma_i(\lambda, y) = \frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha_i^2(y)}(V_i(0, y) - \lambda^2 - \frac{n^2}{4})}.$$

We denote by S_1 and S_2 the scattering matrices associated to P_1 and P_2 respectively.

Our main Theorem is

Theorem 1.2. *Let g_1, g_2 and V_1, V_2 be as in (1.5), $p \in \partial X$, and $\sigma = \max\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$. Assume that near p , $(S_1)_{\lambda_1} \equiv (S_2)_{\lambda_1}$ and $(S_1)_{\lambda_2} \equiv (S_2)_{\lambda_2} \pmod{\Psi_{2\max_{\partial X} \Re \sigma - n - k}(\partial X)}$, $k \geq 1$, $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup D_1 \cup D_2)$ for $j = 1, 2$, D_i defined in (1.6). Then $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, $V_1(0, y) = V_2(0, y)$, $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2$, and there is a discrete set $Q \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup D_1 \cup D_2)$ such that if $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup D_1 \cup D_2 \cup Q)$, then $h_1 - h_2 = O(x^k)$ near p , and $V_2 - V_1 = O(x^k)$ near p .*

Theorem 1.2 can be restated invariantly as

Theorem 1.3. *Let g_1, g_2 and V_1, V_2 be as in (1.5), $p \in \partial X$, and $\sigma = \max\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$. Assume that near p , $(S_1)_{\lambda_1} \equiv (S_2)_{\lambda_1}$ and $(S_1)_{\lambda_2} \equiv (S_2)_{\lambda_2} \pmod{\Psi_{2\max_{\partial X} \Re \sigma - n - k}(\partial X)}$, $k \geq 1$, $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup D_1 \cup D_2)$ for $j = 1, 2$, D_i defined in (1.6). Then $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, $V_1(0, y) = V_2(0, y)$, $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2$, and there is a discrete set $Q \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup D_1 \cup D_2)$ such that if $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup D_1 \cup D_2 \cup Q)$, then $V_2 - V_1 = O(x^k)$ near p and there exists a diffeomorphism ϕ of a neighborhood $U \subset X$ of p , such that $\phi^*g_1 - g_2 = O(x^{k-2})$ in U .*

In Section 2, we recall the definitions of the spaces of polyhomogeneous distributions of [2] which are needed to carry out the analysis for the conformally compact geometry with variable curvature at infinity. The reason for the introduction of these spaces comes from the appearance of an indicial root which will depend on the space variable y , through the boundary curvature function $\alpha(y)$ and the potential $V(0, y)$. In Section 3, we prove our main Theorem.

The author would like to thank his advisor Antônio Sá Barreto for his guidance and help on this paper, and anonymous referees for many helpful comments.

2. The Poisson Operator and the Scattering Matrix

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1.

2.1. Boundary asymptotics. In this subsection we recall the spaces of functions used in [2].

Let M be a smooth manifold with corners, as defined in [15], and let $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_p)$ be the defining functions for the finitely many boundary faces $Y_1, \dots, Y_P$ of M . Let $\mathcal{V}_b(M)$ be the set of smooth vector fields tangent to the boundary. We recall the space of conormal distributions

$$\mathcal{A}^m = \{u \in C^\infty(\overset{\circ}{M}) : \mathcal{V}_b^k u \in \rho^m L^\infty(\overset{\circ}{M}), \forall k\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $m \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\rho^m = \rho_1^{m_1} \cdots \rho_p^{m_p}$. And call the set

$$\mathcal{A}^{m-} = \bigcap_{m' < m} \mathcal{A}^{m'}. \quad (2.2)$$

With this space defined, we recall for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^\infty(M; \mathbb{R}^p)$ the space of polyhomogeneous distributions

$$\mathcal{A}_\beta(M) = \{u \in C^\infty(M) : \left[\prod_{l=0}^p \prod_{k=0}^{m_l-1} (T_j - k)^{k+1} \right] (\rho^{-\beta} u) \in \mathcal{A}^n(M), \forall n < m, \forall m\}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $T_j = \rho_j \partial_{\rho_j}$.

Lastly we recall the space of truncated expansion

$$\mathcal{A}_{\beta|q}(M) = \prod_{l=1}^p (\rho_l \ln \rho_l)^{q_l} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{\beta}(M) = \rho^{\beta} \left[\prod_{l=0}^p \prod_{k=0}^{q_l-1} (T_j - k)^{k+1} \right] \rho^{-\beta} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{\beta}(M). \quad (2.4)$$

We refer the reader to [2] for a more detailed description of the later spaces and for a proof of the last equality. An important lemma which was proven in [2], tells us that these spaces only depend on the restriction to the boundary of β . For our case β will be the indicial root σ that will be discussed next; it appears in the asymptotic expansion that leads to the definition of the scattering matrix (1.3).

Lemma 2.1. [2] *The space $\mathcal{A}_{\beta}$ is independent of the choice of T_j and depends on β only through the restrictions $\beta|_{Y_i}$.*

2.2. The indicial operator. We adapt the parametrix construction of [2]. For g as in (1.1), we consider the Schrödinger operator

$$\Delta_g + V(x, y) - \lambda^2 - \frac{n^2}{4}. \quad (2.5)$$

We consider the indicial roots when restricted to the boundary $x = 0$. The indicial root σ satisfy the equation

$$\begin{aligned} -\alpha^2 \sigma(n - \sigma) + V(0, y) - \lambda^2 - \frac{n^2}{4} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \sigma_{\pm} &= \frac{n}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha^2}(V(0, y) - \lambda^2 - \frac{n^2}{4})}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

We denote $\sigma = \sigma_+$, and therefore $\sigma_- = n - \sigma$. Observe that σ is holomorphic in λ when

$$\lambda^2 \notin \left[\min_{\partial X} \{V(0, y)\} - \alpha_M^2 \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{n^2}{4}, \max_{\partial X} \{V(0, y)\} - \alpha_m^2 \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{n^2}{4} \right],$$

where α_m and α_M are the minimum and maximum of α at ∂X respectively. Let

$$\Omega' = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \exists y \in \partial X : \sigma(\lambda, y) \in \frac{n - \mathbb{N}_0}{2} \right\},$$

and then let

$$\Omega = \Omega' \cup \left[\min_{\partial X} \{V(0, y)\} - \alpha_M^2 \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{n^2}{4}, \max_{\partial X} \{V(0, y)\} - \alpha_m^2 \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{n^2}{4} \right], \quad (2.7)$$

we have, just as in [2] Lemma 3.2:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Omega \cup D)$ then for $v \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma|1}$, we can find $u \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma|1}$ such that*

$$v - [\Delta_g + V(x, y) - \lambda^2 - \frac{n^2}{4}]u \in \dot{C}^{\infty}(X).$$

This is the first ingredient of the parametrix construction in [13]. The following corollary follows from the same arguments in [2],

Corollary 2.1. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Omega \cup D)$, then for $f \in C^{\infty}$ there exists $u \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\Delta_g + V(x, y) - \lambda^2 - \frac{n^2}{4} \right] u \in \dot{C}^{\infty}(X); \\ &u(x, y) \sim x^{\sigma} f(y) \text{ near } x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

2.3. Stretched product. We also recall the construction of the stretched product, which is the manifold (with corners) obtained after blowing up the product $X \times X$ along $\partial\Delta\iota$, where $\partial\Delta\iota = (\partial X \times \partial X) \cap \Delta\iota \cong \partial X$, and $\Delta\iota$ is the set of fixed points of the involution I that exchanges the two projections,

$$I(\pi_L(X \times X)) = \pi_r(X \times X).$$

Where $\pi_L(X \times X)$ is the projection onto the first component $X \times \partial X$, and $\pi_r(X \times X)$ the projection onto the second component $\partial X \times X$.

We use the usual notation for the stretched product $X \times_0 X$ and denote the blow-down map by:

$$b : X \times_0 X \rightarrow X \times X. \quad (2.8)$$

The process of blowing-up just described, amounts to the introduction of singular coordinates near the corner, they are given near left face, in local projective coordinates, by (with $Y = y - y'$)

$$s = \frac{x}{x'}, \quad z = \frac{Y}{x'}, \quad x', \quad y', \quad (2.9)$$

near the front face by

$$\rho = \frac{x}{|Y|}, \quad \rho' = \frac{x'}{|Y|}, \quad r = |Y|, \quad \omega = \frac{Y}{|Y|}, \quad y, \quad (2.10)$$

near the right face by

$$t = \frac{x'}{x}, \quad z' = -\frac{Y}{x}, \quad x, \quad y. \quad (2.11)$$

Setting

$$R = \sqrt{(x')^2 + x^2 + |y - y'|^2}$$

the left, right, and front faces are characterized by $\rho = 0$, $\rho' = 0$, and $R = 0$ respectively.

2.4. Pseudodifferential operators. We recall the class of pseudodifferential operators that we need. We are going to work on the space of half densities of the form

$$\left| \frac{h(x, y)}{\alpha(y)} \right|^{1/2} \left| \frac{dx}{x} \frac{dy}{x^n} \right|^{1/2}, \quad h \in \mathbb{C}^\infty(X), \quad h \neq 0, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\infty(\partial X), \quad \alpha \neq 0.$$

The C^∞ multiples of such a density are sections of the bundle of singular half densities $\Gamma_0^{1/2}(X)$. Similarly, and we refer to [13, 10] for the details, we can define the bundles $\Gamma_0^{1/2}(X \times X)$, and $\Gamma_0^{1/2}(X \times_0 X)$.

We can now recall the definition of the class of pseudodifferential operators ${}^0\Psi^m(X, \Gamma_0^{1/2})$, as the operators B

$$B : \dot{C}^\infty(X; \Gamma_0^{1/2}) \rightarrow C^{-\infty}(X; \Gamma_0^{1/2}),$$

having a Schwartz kernel

$$K_B \in C^{-\infty}(X \times X; \Gamma_0^{1/2}),$$

whose lift to $X \times_0 X$ has a conormal singularity of order m at the lifted diagonal.

As in [2], define also ${}^0\Psi_{\sigma_l, \sigma_r}(X \times_0 X, \Gamma_0^{1/2})$ to be the class of operator whose (Schwartz) kernel satisfies

$$b^* K \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma_l, \sigma_r, 0}(X \times_0 X, \Gamma_0^{1/2}),$$

and are extendible across the front face. The residual class of the construction is $\Psi_{\sigma_l, \sigma_r}$ the operator with kernels in $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma_l, \sigma_r}(X \times X, \Gamma_0^{1/2})$.

2.5. The resolvent, the Poisson operator and the scattering matrix. We can now apply Proposition 4.2 of [2] to use the parametrix construction of [13] section 7 to get

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Omega \cup D)$, then there exists M_λ and F_λ holomorphic, such that*

$$[\Delta_g + V(x, y) - \lambda^2 - \frac{n^2}{4}]M_\lambda = I - F_\lambda$$

with $M_\lambda \in {}^0\Psi^{-2} + {}^0\Psi_{\sigma_l, \sigma_r}$ and $F_\lambda \in \Psi_{\infty, \sigma_r}$ is a compact operator.

To apply analytic Fredholm theory we need the invertibility of $I - F_\lambda$ for at least one value of λ . To do that one modifies the parametrix M_λ by adding a smoothing operator of finite rank which guaranties that $(I - F_\lambda)^{-1}$ exists for λ such that $\Re \lambda = 0$, and $\Im \lambda < 0$. For the details of this construction we refer the reader to the second paragraph in the proof of Theorem 7.1 on page 301 of [13].

We decompose the resolvent as the pull-back using the blow-down map b (that is ${}^0\Psi^m, {}^0\Psi_{\sigma_l, \sigma_r}$), and its residual class $(\Psi_{\sigma_l, \sigma_r})$ and state this as a Proposition,

Proposition 2.2. *The resolvent*

$$R_\lambda = \left[\Delta_g + V(x, y) - \lambda^2 - \frac{n^2}{4} \right]^{-1} : \dot{C}^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(\overset{o}{X})$$

has a meromorphic continuation to $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Omega \cup D')$, and structure

$$R_\lambda \in {}^0\Psi^{-2} + {}^0\Psi_{\sigma_l, \sigma_r} + \Psi_{\sigma_l, \sigma_r}$$

The proof of the existence of the Poisson operator and the scattering matrix follow the same as in [2], the Poisson operator is equal to

$$E_\lambda = C(\lambda)x'^{-\sigma_r} R_\lambda |_{x'=0},$$

The following Proposition, which is proven in [2], is the final ingredient needed to prove Theorem 1.1,

Proposition 2.3. *For the Schwartz kernel of the Poisson operator*

$$E_\lambda f = \int_{\partial X} E_\lambda(x, z') f(y') d\mu_{\partial X} y'$$

and $f \in C^\infty(\partial X)$, we have

$$E_\lambda f \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma(X) + \mathcal{A}_{n-\sigma}(X)$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 follows; for the reader interested in the details we refer to [2]. The principal symbol of the scattering matrix is

$$S_\lambda(\xi) = 2^{n-2\sigma} \frac{\Gamma(n/2 - \sigma)}{\Gamma(\sigma - n/2)} |\xi|_{h_0}^{2\sigma-n}$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Omega \cup D')$.

Remark 1. Notice that S_λ is a pseudodifferential operator in $\Psi_{\epsilon, 0}^m$ for every $\epsilon > 0$, and $m = 2 \max_{\partial X} \Re \sigma - n$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We proceed to analyze the relationship between scattering matrices associated to two distinct operators as in (1.4). Let's consider first the case where the scattering matrices agree at the principal symbol level. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_P(S_{1\lambda_i}(\xi)) &= 2^{n-2\sigma_1(\lambda_i)} \frac{\Gamma(n/2 - \sigma_1(\lambda_i))}{\Gamma(\sigma_1(\lambda_i) - n/2)} |\xi|_{h_{10}}^{2\sigma_1(\lambda_i)-n} = \\ &= 2^{n-2\sigma_2(\lambda_i)} \frac{\Gamma(n/2 - \sigma_2(\lambda_i))}{\Gamma(\sigma_2(\lambda_i) - n/2)} |\xi|_{h_{20}}^{2\sigma_2(\lambda_i)-n}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where for $j = 1, 2$ and $i = 1, 2$

$$\sigma_j(\lambda_i) = \frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha_j^2(y)}(V_j(0, y) - \lambda_i^2 - \frac{n^2}{4})}.$$

We use that $|t\xi|_{h_{i0}} = t|\xi|_{h_{i0}}$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ to obtain

$$2^{2\sigma_2 - 2\sigma_1} \frac{\frac{\Gamma(n/2 - \sigma_1)}{\Gamma(\sigma_1 - n/2)} |\xi|_{h_{10}}^{2\sigma_1 - n}}{\frac{\Gamma(n/2 - \sigma_2)}{\Gamma(\sigma_2 - n/2)} |\xi|_{h_{20}}^{2\sigma_2 - n}} = t^{2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)}.$$

This implies that σ_1 and σ_2 are identical. Hence $|\xi|_{h_{20}} = |\xi|_{h_{10}}$ for every $\xi \neq 0$ and thus h_{10} and h_{20} are also equal.

Furthermore, using the equations for σ_1 and σ_2 at λ_i $i = 1, 2$:

$$\sigma_1(\lambda_i) = \sigma_2(\lambda_i) \Rightarrow \frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha_1^2(y)}(V_1(0, y) - \lambda_i^2 - \frac{n^2}{4})} = \frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha_2^2(y)}(V_2(0, y) - \lambda_i^2 - \frac{n^2}{4})}. \quad (3.2)$$

Which implies that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_1^2(y)}(V_1(0, y) - \lambda_i^2 - \frac{n^2}{4}) = \frac{1}{\alpha_2^2(y)}(V_2(0, y) - \lambda_i^2 - \frac{n^2}{4}).$$

Rearranging

$$\frac{V_1(0, y)}{\alpha_1^2(y)} - \frac{V_2(0, y)}{\alpha_2^2(y)} = (\lambda_i^2 + \frac{n^2}{4}) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_1^2(y)} - \frac{1}{\alpha_2^2(y)} \right);$$

which implies $V_1(0, y) = V_2(0, y)$, and thus $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$. The last two equalities imply that $\omega_1 = \omega_2$.

Going back to the metrics, we have obtained $h_1|_{\partial X} = h_2|_{\partial X}$, which means that there exists a tensor $L(y, dy)$ such that

$$h_2 = h_1 + xL + O(x^2).$$

Next we obtain the higher order Taylor coefficients of the metric and potential from the lower order symbols of the scattering matrix. We denote by

$$\delta_i = \det g_i, \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

Just as in [10] we have

$$\delta_2^{\pm 1/4} = \delta_1^{\pm 1/4} \left(1 + x \cdot \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}(h_1(0, y)^{-1} L(0, y)) + O(x^2) \right).$$

For the rest of the proof we only need to use that the scattering matrices agree at one energy, so we take $\lambda = \lambda_1$ and drop the subindex. Also

$$\sigma = \sigma(\lambda) = \frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha^2(y_c)}(V(0, y_c) - \lambda^2 - \frac{n^2}{4})}.$$

for the rest of the section. The fixed point y_c will appear naturally after applying the normal operator.

Remark 2. Notice that if we assume that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, one only need one energy to obtain $V_1(0, y) = V_2(0, y)$ from the previous argument.

Now assume $S_{1\lambda} - S_{2\lambda} \in \Psi_{2\max} \mathfrak{R}_{\sigma-n-2}(\partial X)$, and we want to show that $h_1(x, y, dy) - h_2(x, y, dy) = O(x^2)$, and $V_1 - V_2 = O(x^2)$. To do so we go further and get information on the derivatives of V and the metric h . Let P_1 and P_2 be as defined in (1.4). First we compute $P_2 - P_1$ as in [10]¹. The difference in the metric between our case and that of [10] is that $g_{00} = \frac{1}{\alpha^2 x^2}$, and $\delta_i = \det |g_i| = \frac{\det |h_1|}{(\alpha_i(y)^{n+1})^2}$. So the only term that will change module higher order terms in the computation of

$$\delta^{\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_g (\delta^{-\frac{1}{4}} f) = \sum_{i,j=0}^n \delta^{-\frac{1}{4}} \partial_{z_i} (g^{ij} (f(\partial_{z_j} \delta^{\frac{1}{4}}) - \delta^{\frac{1}{4}} (\partial_{z_j} f))),$$

is the $i = j = 0$ term. This term is equal to

$$-x\alpha_1^2 \frac{(1-n)}{4} T + (\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_1^2) \left(2xf \partial_x \ln \delta^{\frac{1}{4}} + x^2 f (\partial_x^2 \ln \delta^{\frac{1}{4}} + (\partial_x \ln \delta^{\frac{1}{4}})^2) + x^2 \partial_x^2 f + 2x \partial_x f \right),$$

where $T = \text{Tr}(h_1(0, y)^{-1} L(0, y))$, and $\delta = \frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1}$.

Since $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and $V_1(0, y) - V_2(0, y)$ we have

$$P_2 - P_1 = x \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n H_{ij} x \partial_{y_i} x \partial_{y_j} - \alpha_1^2 \frac{(1-n)}{4} T \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x^j (V_2^{(j)}(0, y) - V_1^{(j)}(0, y)) + x^2 R. \quad (3.3)$$

Where $H_{ij} = h_1^{-1}(0, y) L(0, y) h_1^{-1}(0, y)$, and

$$W^{(j)} = \left(\frac{\partial^j}{\partial x^j} V_2 \right) (0, y) - \left(\frac{\partial^j}{\partial x^j} V_1 \right) (0, y).$$

To find the expansion on the difference of the scattering matrices we can proceed as in [10]. Let R_1 and R_2 be the resolvents of P_1 and P_2 respectively, then

$$P_2(R_1 - R_2) = (P_2 - P_1)R_1 = xER_1,$$

where E is the right hand side of (3.3) after factoring out an x . To obtain R_2 as a perturbation of R_1 we need to find F so that

$$P_2(F) = xER_1.$$

We set $x = x's$ and $F = x'F_1$, and as x' commutes with P_2 we obtain

$$P_2(F_1) = sER_1. \quad (3.4)$$

At this step we use a fundamental tool developed in [13], which is the normal operator. For the details of its construction and further properties we refer to [13] sections 2 and 5. We recall that the normal operator N_P of $\Delta_g + V$ at a point $y_c \in \partial X$ is given by $\alpha^2(y_c)\Delta_0 + V(0, y_c)$, where Δ_0 is the Laplacian on $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. Here we assume that the metric $\alpha^2(y_c)h_0(y_c)$ is transformed by a linear change of variables to the identity.

We apply the normal operator to (3.4) to get

$$\alpha^2(y_c)(\Delta_0 + V(0, y_c) - \lambda^2 - \frac{n^2}{4})N_P F_1 = N_P(sER_1). \quad (3.5)$$

The right hand side of (3.5) is in $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma+1, \sigma-1}$. We can now apply Proposition 6.19 of [13] to deduce that $N_P F_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma, \sigma-1}$, thus by the mapping properties of N_P , we can write $F = x'(F_1) = R\rho^\sigma \rho'^\sigma \gamma(\lambda)$; with $\gamma(\lambda) \in C^\infty(X \times_0 X \setminus \Delta_0, \Gamma_0^{1/2}(X \times_0 X))$. Next we follow the construction of the expansion of γ which applies just as in [10]:

¹There is a little correction to the computation in [10], pointed out in [5].

We recall Proposition 4.4 of [10], which holds for our case and states that the kernel of S_λ satisfies

$$b_\partial^* S_\lambda = \frac{1}{M_\sigma} b^*(x^{-\sigma+n/2}((x')^{-\sigma+n/2})R_\lambda)|_{\rho=\rho'=0}.$$

where b_∂ is the blow-up of the manifold $\partial X \times \partial X$ along the diagonal $\Delta \in \partial X \times \partial X$ (we refer the reader to [10] for the details of this blow-up). Thus we can write

$$b_\partial^*(S_{2\lambda} - S_{1\lambda}) = \frac{1}{M_\sigma} b^*(x^{-\sigma+n/2}(x')^{-\sigma+n/2} R \rho^\sigma \rho'^\sigma \gamma(\lambda))|_{\rho=\rho'=0}.$$

In the coordinates $r = |y - y'|$, $w = (y - y')/r$, y'

$$b_\partial^*(S_{2\lambda} - S_{1\lambda}) = r^{1-2\sigma+n} \gamma(\sigma, r, \omega, y, 0, 0) \left| \frac{dr}{r} \frac{d\omega}{r^n} dy' \right|^{1/2}.$$

Taking the r^{-n} factor out of the half-density we get that $\gamma(\sigma, r, \omega, y, 0, 0)|d\omega dy'|$ is the restriction of

$$R^{n/2} \rho^{n/2} (\rho')^{n/2} \gamma(\lambda) \left| \frac{d\rho}{(\rho)^{n+1}} \frac{d\rho'}{(\rho')^{n+1}} \frac{dR}{R^{n+1}} d\omega dy' \right|^{1/2}$$

to the intersection of the left, right and front face, which is $\rho = \rho' = R = 0$. Next we explicitly calculate what this is.

Using the blow-up coordinates

$$s = x/x', \quad z = (y - y')/x',$$

and that $V(0, y_c) - \lambda^2 - \frac{n}{4} = \alpha(y_c)^2 \sigma(y_c)(n - \sigma(y_c))$, $\Delta_0 = -(x\partial_x)^2 + nx\partial_x - (x)^2 \Delta_{h_0(y)}$, the equation (3.5) transforms into

$$\alpha(y_c)^2 (-(s\partial_s)^2 + ns\partial_s - (s)^2 \Delta_{\text{Id}(z)} + \sigma(y_c)(n - \sigma(y_c))) (s^\sigma (1 + s^2 + |z|^2)^{\frac{1-2\sigma}{2}} \gamma(s, z)) = N_P(sE)G. \quad (3.6)$$

We drop the dependence of y_c to simplify notation. It is well known (e.g. Lemma 2.1. [6]) that for the Green kernel of the operator $\Delta_0 - \sigma(\sigma - n)$, acting on half-densities is given by

$$G(s, z) = \left(\frac{\pi^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{2} \frac{\Gamma(\sigma)}{\Gamma(\sigma - \frac{n-2}{2})} \frac{s^\sigma}{(1 + s^2 + |z|^2)^\sigma} \right) \left| \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dz}{s^n} dy' \right|^{1/2} + E_1.$$

Where E_1 has conormal singularity at $s = 1, z = 0$. This can be used to compute the leading singularity of the kernel of $S_2(\lambda) - S_1(\lambda)$ by computing the expansion for the restriction of

$$R^{n/2} \rho^{n/2} (\rho')^{n/2} \gamma(\lambda) \left| \frac{d\rho}{(\rho)^{n+1}} \frac{d\rho'}{(\rho')^{n+1}} \frac{dR}{R^{n+1}} d\omega dy' \right|^{1/2}$$

to $\rho = \rho' = R = 0$.

Since G acts as a convolution operator with respect to the group action defined in [13], we have modulo $\left| \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dz}{s^n} dy' \right|^{1/2}$

$$\alpha^2(s^\sigma (1 + s^2 + |z|^2)^{\frac{1-2\sigma}{2}} \gamma(s, z)) = c(\sigma) \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n H_{ij}(y_c) \partial_{z_i} \partial_{z_j} I_1 + (W^{(1)}(y_c) - \alpha_1 \frac{1-n}{4} T(y_c)) I_2 \right) + \beta, \quad (3.7)$$

where $\beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma, \sigma}$, and for $l = 1, 2$

$$I_l = I_l(\sigma, s, z) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t^\sigma}{(1 + t^2 + |U|^2)^\sigma (1 + s^2/t^2 + |z - (s/t)U|^2)^\sigma} \left(\frac{s}{t} \right)^{\sigma+5-2l} \frac{dt}{t} dU. \quad (3.8)$$

We rename $\alpha^2(y_c) \gamma(s, z)$ as $\gamma(s, z)$, since for all the following computations $\alpha^2(y_c)$ is just a constant and is not necessary for any purpose in the rest of the proof.

We recall we are looking for the restriction of

$$R^{n/2} \rho^{n/2} (\rho')^{n/2} \gamma(\lambda) \left| \frac{d\rho}{(\rho)^{n+1}} \frac{d\rho'}{(\rho')^{n+1}} \frac{dR}{R^{n+1}} d\omega dy' \right|^{1/2} = \\ (x')^{n/2} \frac{s^{n/2}}{(1+s+|z|^2)^{n/4}} \gamma(\lambda) \left| \frac{dx'}{(x')^{n+1}} \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dz}{s^n} dy' \right|^{1/2}, \quad (3.9)$$

to $\{x' = s = 0, |z| = \infty\}$. First we restrict to $\{x' = 0\}$ to get

$$\frac{s^{n/2}}{(1+s+|z|^2)^{n/4}} \gamma(\lambda) \left| \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dz}{s^n} dy' \right|^{1/2}.$$

Which when restricted to $\{s = 0, |z| = \infty\}$ is the same as

$$\frac{s^{n/2}}{|z|^{n/2}} \gamma(\lambda) \left| \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dz}{s^n} dy' \right|^{1/2} \quad (3.10)$$

restricted to $\{s = 0, |z| = \infty\}$. Using $\omega = z/|z|$ (3.10) becomes $A(\omega)|d\omega dy'|^{1/2}$.

By (3.7) $A(\omega)$ is given by

$$A(\omega) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0, |z| \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(s, z) = \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow 0, |z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s^\sigma (1+s^2+|z|^2)^{\frac{1-2\sigma}{2}}} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n H_{ij}(y_c) \partial_{z_i} \partial_{z_j} I_1 + (W^{(1)}(y_c) - \alpha_1 \frac{1-n}{4} T(y_c)) I_2 \right]. \quad (3.11)$$

Setting $|z|u = s/t$ and $U = (t/s)|z|\tilde{V}$. Then as in Lemma 5.1 of [10]

$$I_l(\sigma, s, z) = s^\sigma |z|^{-2\sigma+5-2l} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t^{2\sigma+4-2l-n}}{(u^2 + s^2/|z|^2 + |V|^2)^\sigma (1/|z|^2 + u^2 + |\omega - \tilde{V}|^2)^\sigma} dV du. \quad (3.12)$$

We set

$$T_l(\sigma, s, z) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t^{2\sigma+4-2l-n}}{(u^2 + s^2/|z|^2 + |V|^2)^\sigma (1/|z|^2 + u^2 + |\omega - \tilde{V}|^2)^\sigma} dV du. \quad (3.13)$$

We rotate ω to $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. The next step is to analyze the limits

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0, |z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s^\sigma (1+s^2+|z|^2)^{\frac{1-2\sigma}{2}}} I_2,$$

and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0, |z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s^\sigma (1+s^2+|z|^2)^{\frac{1-2\sigma}{2}}} \partial_{z_i} \partial_{z_j} I_1.$$

To do that we recall the lemma from [10]

Lemma 3.1. [10] *For $k \geq 1$, and for $2\Re\sigma \geq \max\{n-k+1, k+2\}$. Let*

$$J(l, k, \sigma) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u^{2\Re\sigma+k+3-2l-n}}{(u^2 + |v|^2)^{\Re\sigma} (u^2 + (e_1 - v)^2)^{\Re\sigma}} dv du,$$

where $l = 1, 2$; $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then $|J(l, k, \sigma)| < \infty$.

We can apply dominated convergence to get

$$T_2(\sigma) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0, |z| \rightarrow \infty} s^{-\sigma} |z|^{2\sigma-1} I_2(\sigma, s, z) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u^{2\sigma-n}}{(u^2 + |v|^2)^\sigma (u^2 + (e_1 - v)^2)^\sigma} dv du.$$

Noticing that

$$\partial_{z_j} \partial_{z_i} I_1(s, z) = \tilde{C}_1(\sigma) s^\sigma (\partial_{z_j} \partial_{z_i} |z|^{3-2\sigma}) T_1(s, z) + O(s^{\Re\sigma} |z|^{-1-2\Re\sigma}). \quad (3.14)$$

We have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0, |z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s^\sigma (1 + s^2 + |z|^2)^{\frac{1-2\sigma}{2}}} \partial_{z_j} \partial_{z_i} I_1(s, z) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0, |z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s^\sigma (1 + s^2 + |z|^2)^{\frac{1-2\sigma}{2}}} \tilde{C}_1(\sigma) s^\sigma (\partial_{z_j} \partial_{z_i} |z|^{3-2\sigma}) T_1(s, z). \quad (3.15)$$

Changing coordinates from $z = (y - y')/x$ to $Y = (y - y')$, we substitute

$$\partial_{z_j} \partial_{z_i} |z|^{3-2\sigma} = |z|^{1-2\sigma} |Y|^{2\sigma-1} \partial_{Y_j} \partial_{Y_i} |Y|^{3-2\sigma} \quad (3.16)$$

into equation (3.15) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0, |z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s^\sigma (1 + s^2 + |z|^2)^{\frac{1-2\sigma}{2}}} \partial_{z_j} \partial_{z_i} I_1(s, z) &= \\ \frac{1}{s^\sigma (1 + s^2 + |z|^2)^{\frac{1-2\sigma}{2}}} s^\sigma \tilde{C}_1(\sigma) |z|^{1-2\sigma} |Y|^{2\sigma-1} \partial_{Y_j} \partial_{Y_i} |Y|^{3-2\sigma} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0, |z| \rightarrow \infty} T_1(s, z) &= \\ C_1(\sigma) |Y|^{2\sigma-1} \partial_{Y_j} \partial_{Y_i} |Y|^{3-2\sigma} T_1(\sigma) \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

With

$$T_1(\sigma) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u^{2\sigma-2-n}}{(u^2 + |v|^2)^\sigma (u^2 + (e_1 - v)^2)^\sigma} dv du.$$

This way we obtain the form for the leading singularity of $S_2(\lambda) - S_1(\lambda)$, which is

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\sigma, 0, \omega, y, 0, 0) &= \gamma(\sigma, 0, Y/|Y|, y, 0, 0) = \\ C(\sigma) \left(T_1(\sigma) \sum_{i,j=1}^\infty H_{ij}(y_c) |Y|^{2\sigma-1} \partial_{Y_i} \partial_{Y_j} |Y|^{3-2\sigma} + T_2(\sigma) (W^{(1)}(y_c) - \alpha_1^2(y) \frac{1}{4} \cdot (1-n)T(y_c)) \right) & \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

times a non-vanishing smooth half-density. By assumption (3.18) is equal to zero for every $Y \neq 0$. We take $Y = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and get that

$$H_{1,1}(y_c) = -\frac{T_2(\sigma)}{(1-\sigma)T_1(\sigma)} (W^{(1)}(y_c) - \alpha_1^2(y) \frac{1}{4} \cdot (1-n)T(y_c)). \quad (3.19)$$

The same way taking $Y = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ we get that $H_{1,1}(y_c) = H_{2,2}(y_c)$, and in the same way we get that $H_{i,i}(y_c) = H_{j,j}(y_c)$ for every $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. We now put $Y = (1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, into (3.18) and set it equal to zero to get

$$\begin{aligned} H_{1,1}(y_c) ((3-2\sigma)2^{3/2-\sigma} + (3-2\sigma)(1-2\sigma)2^{1/2-\sigma}) + H_{1,2}(3-2\sigma)(1-2\sigma)2^{1/2-\sigma} &= \\ -\frac{T_2(\sigma)}{T_1(\sigma)} (W^{(1)}(y_c) - \alpha_1^2(y) \frac{1}{4} \cdot (1-n)T(y_c)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

The same way taking $Y = (1, 0, 1, \dots, 0)$, we get $H_{1,2} = H_{1,3}$. The process can be continued to get that $H_{i,j} = H_{l,k}$ for all $i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n$. Setting equation (3.18) to be zero looks now like

$$T_1(\sigma) H_{1,1}(y_c) \sum_{i,j=1}^\infty (y_c) |Y|^{2\sigma-1} \partial_{Y_i} \partial_{Y_j} |Y|^{3-2\sigma} + T_2(\sigma) (W^{(1)}(y_c) - \alpha_1^2(y) \frac{1}{4} \cdot (1-n)T(y_c)) = 0. \quad (3.21)$$

Thus we take $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Omega_1 \cup D_1 \cup D_2 \cup Q)$ with Q the union of the discrete set D_1 of zeros of $T_1(\sigma)$, with the discrete set D_2 of zeros of $T_2(\sigma)$, and the discrete set D_3 of zeros of $C(\sigma)$. We have that (3.21) can only happen if $H(y_c) = 0$, i.e. $L(y_c) = 0$; which also implies that $V_2^{(1)}(0, y_c) = V_1^{(1)}(0, y_c)$.

The same argument can be applied in exactly the same way to get that the V_i s and H_i s agree to higher order, obtaining Theorem 1.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Agmon, J. Cruz, I. Herbst, *Generalized Fourier transform for Schrödinger operators with potentials of order zero*. J. Funct. Anal. **167** (1999), 345-369.
- [2] D. Borthwick. *Scattering Theory for Conformally Compact Metrics with Variable Curvature at Infinity*. J. of Func. Anal. **184**, 313-376, (2001).
- [3] C.R. Graham. *Volume and area renormalizations for conformally compact Einstein Metrics*. Rend. Circ. mat. Palermo **2** Suppl. **63**, 31-42, (2000).
- [4] G. Guillarmou, *Meromorphic properties of the resolvent on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, Duke Math. Journal **129**, No 1 2005.
- [5] C. Guillarmou, A. Sá Barreto. *Inverse problem for einstein manifolds*. Preprint.
- [6] L. Guillope, M. Zworski, *Polynomial bounds on the number of resonances for some complete spaces of negative curvature near infinity*. Asymptot. Anal., **11** (1995), 1-22
- [7] Hassell, Andrew; Melrose, Richard; Vasy, András. *Spectral and scattering theory for symbolic potentials of order zero*. Séminaire: Équations aux Dérivées Partielles, 2000–2001, Exp. No. XIII, 21 pp., Sémin. qu. Dériv. Partielles, École Polytech., Palaiseau, 2001.
- [8] Hassell, Andrew; Melrose, Richard; Vasy, András. *Spectral and scattering theory for symbolic potentials of order zero*. Adv. Math. **181** (2004), no. 1, 1-87.
- [9] I. Herbst, *Spectral and scattering theory for Schrödinger operators with potentials independent of $-x$* — Amer. J. of Mathematics, **113** No.3 (1991), 509-565.
- [10] M.S. Joshi and A. Sá Barreto. *Inverse scattering on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*. Acta Math. **184**, 41-86, (2000)
- [11] M.S. Joshi and A. Sá Barreto. *Recovering asymptotics of metrics from fixed energy scattering data*. Invent. Math. **137**, 127-143, (1999).
- [12] R.Mazzeo, *The hodge cohomology of a conformally compact metric*. J. Diff. Geom. **28** (1988), 309-339.
- [13] R.Mazzeo, R.R.; Melrose, R. B. *Meromorphic extention of the resolvent on complete spaces with asymptotically constant negative curvature*. Journal of Functional analysis **75**, 260-310 (1987)
- [14] R.B. Melrose. *Geometric scattering theory*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [15] R.B. Melrose. *Calculus of conormal distributions on manifolds with corners*. Inter. Math. Res. Not., (1992) 51-61.
- [16] A. Sá Barreto. *Radiation fields and inverse scattering on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*. Duke Math. Journal **129**, No 3 2005.
- [17] Y. Saitō, *Schrödinger operators with a non spherical radiation condition*. Pacific J. Math. **126** No. 2 (1987), 331-359.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE IN 47907, U.S.A.

E-mail address: lmazarzi@math.purdue.edu